

Semantics for Conditional Literals via the SM Operator

Zach Hansen Yuliya Lierler

University of Nebraska Omaha

September 2022

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Conditional Logic Programs
- 3 Conditional Programs via the SM Operator
- 4 Conditional Programs via In nitary Propositional Logic
- 5 Connecting Semantics for Conditional Literals

Program Verification

Running Example

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 **Conditional Logic Programs**
- 3 Conditional Programs via the SM Operator
- 4 Conditional Programs via In nitary Propositional Logic
- 5 Connecting Semantics for Conditional Literals

Program Syntax Continued

For a signature $\Sigma = (O; F; P)$ of a first-order language:

O is the set of object constants;

F is the set of function symbols (non-zero arity);

P is the set of predicate constants;

G is the set of all ground terms constructed from O and F of Σ .

For a program Π :

$\Pi = (O; F; P)$, where O , F , and P contain all the object constants, function symbols, and predicate constants occurring in Π ;

G denotes $G_{(O; F; P)}$.

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Conditional Logic Programs
- 3 Conditional Programs via the SM Operator
- 4 Conditional Programs via In nitary Propositional Logic
- 5 Connecting Semantics for Conditional Literals

Global vs. Local Variables

Global vs. Local Variables

A variable is global in a conditional literal $H : L$ if it occurs in H but not in L ;

All other variables occurring in a conditional literal are local

All variables are global in basic literals;

A variable is global in a rule if it is global in at least one rule literal.

Example

In rule

```
:- not asg(V;I) : color(I); vtx(V):
```

with conditional literal

```
not asg(V;I) : color(I);
```

V is a global variable, whereas I is local.

Syntactic Transformation

z

For a rule R with global variables z :

for a conditional literal $H : L$ occurring in the body of R with local variables x , $z(H : L)$ is $\exists x (z(L) \wedge z(H))$;

for a conditional literal $H : L$ occurring in the head of R with local variables x , $z(H : L)$ is $\exists x (z(L) \wedge z(H)) \wedge z(L)$;

(R) is the formula $\exists z (z(B_1) \wedge \dots \wedge z(B_n) \wedge z(L))$

Graph Coloring Example

Conditional Literal Translation

Transformation applied to the conditional literal

$$\text{not } \text{asg}(v; l) : \text{color}(l)$$

produces formula

$$\exists i (\text{color}(i) ! : \text{asg}(v; i))$$

()

$$\exists v_i (\text{vtx}(v) \wedge \text{color}(i)) ! \text{asg}(v; i) _ : \text{asg}(v; i)$$

$$\exists v (\exists i (\text{color}(i) ! : \text{asg}(v; i)) \wedge \text{vtx}(v)) ! ?$$

$$\exists v_{ij} (\text{asg}(v; i) \wedge \text{asg}(v; j) \wedge i \neq j \wedge \text{vtx}(v) \wedge \text{color}(i) \wedge \text{color}(j)) ! ?$$

$$\exists v_{iw} (\text{asg}(v; i) \wedge \text{asg}(w; i) \wedge \text{vtx}(v; w) \wedge \text{color}(i) \wedge \text{edge}(v; w)) ! ?$$

Semantics via the SM operator

An interpretation is a P -stable model of a first-order sentence F when it is a model of $SM[F]$.

For a conditional logic program Π and a Herbrand interpretation I over the signature $(\mathcal{O}; \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{P})$, I is an answer set of Π when I is a P -stable model of Π .

Conditional Programs via In nitary Propositional Logic

Traditional Characterization of Conditional Literals:

- (i) Syntactic reduction to IPL formula¹;
- (ii) Semantics de ned by IPL stable model semantics²

If x is the list of variables occurring in a conditional literal l : L :

Body:

Rules to Closed Rules

$$\text{inst}_G(R) = \{ r \mid r \in R \wedge \exists u \in G \text{ s.t. } r \text{ is an instance of } u \}$$

$$f(r) = \text{inst}_G(R) \text{ w.r.t. } G \text{ s.t. } r \in f(r):$$

Similarly,

$$f(R) = \text{inst}_G(R) \text{ w.r.t. } G \text{ s.t. } R \in f(R):$$

Connecting Semantics for Conditional Literals

We have presented our translation, which produces non-ground first-order formulas from logic programs.

We have reviewed translation, which produces ordinary propositional formulas from logic programs.

We use a previously established result to show that the stable models of () coincide with the stable models of ().

$gr(?) = ?;$

$gr(A) = A$ for a ground atom A ;

$gr(t_1 = t_2)$ is $>$ if t_1 is identical to t_2 , and $?$ otherwise, for ground terms $t_1; t_2$;

If $F = G _ :: _ H$, then $gr(F) = gr(G) _ _ gr(H)$;

If $F = G \wedge :: \wedge H$, then $gr(F) = gr(G) \wedge \wedge gr(H)$;

If $F = G ! H$, then $gr(F) = gr(G) ! gr(H)$;

If $F = \exists x G(x)$, then $gr(F) = \exists gr(G(u)) \mid u \in G^x g^-$;

If $F = \forall x G(x)$, then $gr(F) = \forall gr(G(u)) \mid u \in G^x g^\wedge$.

Graph Coloring Example

Take rule R to be $\text{:- not asg}(V;I) : \text{color}(I); \text{vtx}(V)$: The grounding of (R) replaces global variables:

$$\text{gr}((R)) = \text{f } \text{gr}(\text{8i}(\text{color}(i) ! : \text{asg}(1;i)) \wedge \text{vtx}(1)) ! ? \quad ; \\ \text{gr}(\text{8i}(\text{color}(i) ! : \text{asg}(g;i)) \wedge \text{vtx}(g)) ! ? \quad g^{\wedge}$$

Take closed rule r to be $\text{:- not asg}(1;I) : \text{color}(I); \text{vtx}(1)$: Then,
 $(r) = (\text{color}(1) ! : \text{asg}(1;1)) \wedge (\text{color}(g) ! : \text{asg}(1;g)) \wedge \text{vtx}(1) ! ?$

$$(\text{8i}(\text{color}(i) ! : \text{asg}(1;i)) \wedge \text{vtx}(1)) ! ?$$

is equivalent to

$$(\text{color}(1) ! : \text{asg}(1;1)) \wedge (\text{color}(g) ! : \text{asg}(1;g)) \wedge \text{vtx}(1) ! ?$$

Conclusion

Conclusion

